

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 180 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspir.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/048,164	05/06/2002	Shinobu Kuriya	217870US6PCT	4373
22850 75	590 06/08/2006		EXAMINER	
	VAK, MCCLELLAND,	HENEGHAN, MATTHEW E		
	0 DUKE STREET EXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/048,164	KURIYA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew Heneghan	2134				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	arch 2006					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,٢	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
D: :		,					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ion of Claims						
•	4) Claim(s) <u>17-29</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-29</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		·				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 March 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
-	•	priority under 35 H S C & 110/a) (d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a)							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 						
	·		ed in this ivational Stage				
* 0	application from the International Bureau						
•	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not feceive	eu.				
,							
		·					
Attachmen	• •	, –	(DTO 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/10/06</u> .	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

In response to the previous office action, Applicnat has cancelled claims 1-16 1. and added claims 17-29. Claims 17-29 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

The following Information Disclosure Statements in the instant application have 2. been fully considered:

.IDS filed 10 April 2006.

Drawings

The drawings were received on 23 March 2006. These drawings are acceptable. 3.

Specification

In view of Applicant's amendments, all previous objections to the speicification are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Art Unit: 2134

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 17-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WIPO Patent Publication No. 00/08909 to Dorak et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,272,537 to Kekic et al.

Regarding claims 17-20, 22-26, 28, and 29, Dorak discloses a system wherein a clearinghouse (the information providing apparatus) communicates (transmits and receives information) with an end-user device (the device). See p. 16, lines 6-13. The end-user device is authenticated by the Electronic Content Digital Store. See p.28, lines 19-25. Usage conditions are stored in the Electronic Content Digital Store, which has an updating unit for adding to or narrowing usage conditions. See p. 25, lines 2-5 and p. 27, lines 1-11. Usage conditions and information are received during a purchase transaction from the end-user device. See p. 30, lines 5-17.

Dorak does not describe the user interface at the information providing apparatus.

Kekic discloses the display of the status of clients of a server on the server (see column 14, lines 22-29) and content information (see column 23, lines 27-50), displaying information in a hierarchical (tree) representation. Kekic's modification displays the status of network clients and content in real-time, device information would

Art Unit: 2134

therefore be updated based upon device information received. Kekic further suggests that this is done to allow network managers to manage a growing network of heterogeneous elements (see column 4, lines 58-64).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Dorak by implementing Kekic's user interface on the information processing apparatus (i.e. the server), to allow network managers to manage a growing network of heterogeneous elements.

Regarding claims 21, 27, the usage conditions control display of the content and permit operation of the content.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see Remarks, filed 23 March 2006, with respect to the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive in view of Applicant's amendments. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kekic.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/048,164

Art Unit: 2134

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Matthew E. Heneghan, whose telephone number is

(571) 272-3834. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30

AM - 4:30 PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jacques Louis-Jacques, can be reached at (571) 272-6962.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-3800

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/048,164

Art Unit: 2134

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MEH

June 5, 2006

ACONES H. LOUIS DACONES PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 6